### INTEGRAL BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

#### ANTHONY G. O'FARRELL

ABSTRACT. We give a short proof, using topology, of a fact about the denominators of certain binomial coefficients.

### 1. Introduction

The binomial coefficients are defined by

$$\binom{\alpha}{k} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1) \cdots (\alpha - k + 1)}{k!},$$

for nonnegative integral k and any  $\alpha$ . Usually,  $\alpha$  is a real or complex number, but the definition makes sense if  $\alpha$  belongs to any field of characteristic zero. The following is well-known:

**Theorem 1.** The binomial coefficients  $\binom{n}{k}$  are positive integers, for integers n, k with  $0 \le k \le n$ .

The usual proof uses the Law of Pascal's Triangle, and induction.

The binomial coefficients  $\binom{r}{k}$ , with rational r, occur in the Maclaurin series expansion of  $(1+x)^r$  (convergent for real or complex x with |x|<1). For instance,

$$\sqrt{1+x} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {1 \choose k} x^k.$$

Calculating a few terms, one finds that the series begins

$$1 + \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{8}x^2 + \frac{1}{16}x^3 - \frac{5}{64}x^4 \cdots$$

The coefficients are not integral (or nonnegative), but when common factors are cancelled (i.e. they are expressed in reduced form m/n, with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\gcd(m,n)=1$ ), it is remarkable that only powers of 2 occur in the denominators. This is not an accident: the pattern continues forever. We have the following, slightly less well-known result:

Date: March 11, 2011.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $0 \le k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that r = m/n in reduced form. Then the binomial coefficient  $\binom{r}{k}$  has reduced form s/t, where t is a product of powers of primes that divide n.

For instance, in the expansion of  $(1+x)^{\frac{5}{6}}$ , the coefficients all take the form  $s/(2^a 3^b)$ , for some  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The theorem may be proved using elementary number theory, for instance by reducing it to the statement that if  $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and r is the largest factor of k! prime to d, then r divides the product of the terms of each k-term arithmetic progression of integers having step d.

The purpose of this paper is to give a very short soft proof of Theorem 2, by using a little analysis (cf. Section 2).

## 2. A p-ADIC PROOF

For prime  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  denote the ring of p-adic integers [1], and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  the field of p-adic numbers, the quotient field of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The field  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to the p-adic metric. Each integer  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is the closure of  $\mathbb{N}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . A rational number r with reduced form m/n belongs to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  if and only if p does not divide p.

**Theorem 3.** If  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  is prime,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\binom{a}{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Proof. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . The function

$$f: x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x \\ k \end{pmatrix}$$

is a polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and hence it is continuous, as a function from  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  into  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . (This just depends on the fact that  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a metric field.) Choose a sequence  $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{N}$  with  $a_n \to a$  in p-adic metric. Then  $f(a_n) \in \mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and hence  $f(a) = \lim_n f(a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is closed.

We remark that a rational number r is an integer if and only if  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  for each prime p, and so this theorem may be regarded as a 'local version' of Theorem 1. The proof shows that the local version follows at once from Theorem 1, and a simple bit of topology.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let r = m/n, k, and  $\binom{r}{k} = s/t$  be as in the statement. Suppose a prime p divides t. If p does not divide n, then  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , so  $s/t \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , which is false. Thus each prime that divides t divides t.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to John Murray for helpful discussion.

# References

[1] J.-P. Serre, A Course of Arithmetic. Springer. New York. 1996.

MATEMATICS DEPARTMENT, NUI, MAYNOOTH, CO. KILDARE, IRELAND