

When Uniformly-continuous Implies Bounded

Anthony G. O'Farrell¹
Mathematics Department
NUI, Maynooth
Co. Kildare
Ireland

October 17, 2008

1 Introduction

Let (X, ρ) and (Y, σ) be metric spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is (by definition) *bounded* if the image of f has finite σ -diameter. It is well-known that if X is compact then each continuous $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is bounded. Special circumstances may conspire to force all continuous $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to be bounded, without Y being compact. For instance, if Y is bounded, then that is enough. It is also enough that X be connected and that each connected component of Y be bounded. But if we ask that all continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow Y$, for arbitrary Y , be bounded, then this requires that X be compact.

What about uniformly-continuous maps? Which X have the property that each uniformly-continuous map from X into any other metric space must be bounded?

We begin with an observation.

Lemma 1.1 *Let (X, ρ) be a metric space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Each uniformly-continuous map from X into another metric space is bounded.*
- (2) *Each uniformly-continuous map from X into \mathbb{R} (with the usual metric) is bounded.*

¹Supported by EU Research Training Network Contract no. HPRN-CT-2000-00116

Proof. Obviously (1) implies (2). The other direction follows from the facts that: (a) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is bounded if and only if each (or any one) of the compositions

$$\sigma(b, \bullet) \circ f : x \mapsto \sigma(b, f(x)) \quad (b \in Y)$$

is bounded, and (b) the composition $\sigma(b, \bullet)$ is uniformly-continuous if f is uniformly-continuous. \blacksquare

This allows us to concentrate on the case $Y = \mathbb{R}$, with the usual metric.

1.1 Example

Each uniformly-continuous function $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, mapping a bounded open interval to \mathbb{R} , is bounded. Indeed, given such an f , choose $\delta > 0$ such that the modulus of continuity $\omega_f(\delta) < 1$, i.e.

$$|x - y| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < 1.$$

Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ greater than $(b-a)/\delta$, $h = (b-a)/n$, and $a_i = a + ih$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$). Then

$$|f(x)| \leq 1 + \max\{|f(a_i)| : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

A very similar argument shows that if X is totally-bounded, then each uniformly-continuous function from X is bounded. However, this is not the whole story.

1.2 Example

Let X be the unit ball of ℓ^∞ , i.e. the space of all bounded sequences $\{a_n\}$ of complex numbers., with the metric induced by the supremum norm:

$$\rho(\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}) = \sup_n |a_n - b_n|.$$

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly-continuous, and choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\omega_f(\delta) < 1$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be the ceiling of $1/\delta$. Then for each $a = \{a_n\} \in X$, taking $h = \sup_n |a_n|/m$ and $b_i = iha$, we have

$$|f(a)| \leq |f(0)| + \sum_{i=1}^m |f(b_i) - f(b_{i-1})| \leq |f(0)| + m.$$

Thus f is bounded. However, X is not totally-bounded.

2 Epsilon-step Territories

For $\epsilon > 0$ and $a, b \in X$, we say that a is ϵ -step-equivalent to b if there exist points $a_0 = a, a_1, \dots, a_n = b$, belonging to X , with $\rho(a_{i-1}, a_i) \leq \epsilon$ for each i . This defines an equivalence relation on X (for each fixed $\epsilon > 0$). We call the equivalence classes ϵ -step territories, and denote the territory of a point a by $T_\epsilon(a)$, or just $T(a)$, if the value of ϵ is clear from the context.

For $\epsilon > 0$ and $a, b \in X$, we denote by $s_\epsilon(a, b)$ (or just $s(a, b)$) the infimum of those $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (if any) for which there exist $a_0 = a, a_1, \dots, a_n = b$ belonging to X , with $\rho(a_{i-1}, a_i) \leq \epsilon$. Obviously, $s(a, b) < +\infty$ if and only if $T(a) = T(b)$.

We say that a territory $T(a)$ is ϵ -step-bounded if

$$\sup_{x \in T(a)} s(a, x) < +\infty,$$

and we call this supremum the ϵ -step extent of $T(a)$.

We define a new ‘distance’ function on $X \times X$, the ϵ -step distance, by setting $d_\epsilon(a, b)$ equal to

$$\inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(a_{i-1}, a_i) : a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in X, a_0 = a, a_n = b, \text{ and } \rho(a_{i-1}, a_i) \leq \epsilon \right\},$$

whenever $a, b \in X$. This has all the properties of a metric, except that its value may be $+\infty$. (One may obtain a proper metric by forming $\arctan \circ d_\epsilon$.) The distance d_ϵ is a proper metric when restricted to any particular ϵ -step territory $T(a)$. In general, $d_\epsilon(a, b)$ is at least as large as the original $\rho(a, b)$, but $d_\epsilon(a, b)$ coincides with $\rho(a, b)$ whenever $\rho(a, b) \leq \epsilon$, and hence d_ϵ induces the same topology as ρ on T , and moreover a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is ρ -uniformly-continuous if and only if it is d_ϵ -uniformly-continuous. Indeed its ρ -modulus of continuity coincides with its d_ϵ -modulus of continuity when the argument is less than or equal to ϵ .

One readily checks that a territory T is ϵ -step-bounded if and only if its d_ϵ -diameter is finite. Moreover, its ϵ -step extent lies between

$$\frac{d_\epsilon - \text{diam}(T)}{\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad 2 + \frac{2d_\epsilon - \text{diam}(T)}{\epsilon}.$$

We now state the main result.

Theorem 2.1 *Let (X, ρ) be a metric space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Each uniformly-continuous function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded.*
- (2) *For each $\epsilon > 0$, X has only a finite number of ϵ -step territories, and each territory is ϵ -step-bounded.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose (1). Fix $\epsilon > 0$.

Suppose that X has infinitely-many ϵ -step-territories. Let T_n (for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) be distinct territories. Then the function f , defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} n & , \quad x \in T_n, \\ 0 & , \quad x \in X \sim \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n, \end{cases}$$

is uniformly-continuous and unbounded, which is impossible. Thus X has only a finite number of ϵ -step territories.

Now suppose that one of the ϵ -step territories, say $T(a)$, is not ϵ -step-bounded. Define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} d_\epsilon(a, x) & , \quad x \in T(a), \\ 0 & , \quad x \in X \sim T(a). \end{cases}$$

Then g is uniformly-continuous on X , and unbounded, contradicting the assumption. Thus each ϵ -step territory is ϵ -step-bounded, and (2) holds.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose (2), and fix $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, uniformly-continuous.

Pick $\delta > 0$ such that $\omega_f(\delta) < 1$. With $\epsilon = \delta$, choose $a_1, \dots, a_n \in X$ such that $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^n T(a_j)$. Then take N to be the maximum of the ϵ -step extents of the $T(a_j)$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $M = \max_j |f(a_j)|$.

For each $x \in X$, there exists j with $x \in T(a_j)$, and then there are $x_0 = a_j, x_1, \dots, x_m = x$ belonging to X , with $m \leq N$ and $\rho(x_{i-1}, x_i) \leq \epsilon$. Thus

$$|f(x)| \leq m + |f(a_j)| \leq N + M.$$

Thus f is bounded. This proves (1). ■